packs were fixed under DPCO, 1987 on 17th April, 1989, the Ceiling prices have been notified on 26-7-1989 after getting the notification vetted by the Law Ministry and translated by their Official language Wing. The details are given in the attached statement.

(d) To the extent information is available M/s. Merind Limited had been charging their own prices for such formulations under DPCO, 1979 due to order of the Delhi High Court.

Payments to IDPL from DPEA

2444. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU : Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 11 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 24th April, 1989 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that claims towards IDPL for Analgin, Streptomycin and Phenobarbitone were settled long back ;

(b) if so, when were these claims settled and what was the amount claimed against each drug;

(c) what amount was paid against each claim and when it was paid;

(d) whether it is also a fact that even after settling these claims an amount of around rupees twelve crores which was due from IDPL was written off;

(e) if so, what are the details thereof and what are the reasons therefor; and

(f) what was the total amount due to IDPL in respect of 6-APA and Methyl dopa under DPCO, 1979 and what are the details of total payments made to IDPL in respect of these drugs from Drug Prices Equalisation Account ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL) : (a) to (f) An amount of Rs. 10·13 crores has so far been paid to M/s. IDPL in respect of their claims relating to Analgin, Phenobarbitone, Streptomycin Sulphate, Vitamin-B, Vitamin-B2, Methyl Dopa, 6-APA, Pheno sulphathiazole bulk drugs pertaining to their claims from 1979-80 to 1985-86. No amount due from M/s IDPL under para 7(2) of DPCO, 1979 has been written off.

Revision of Oil Royalty

2445. SHRIMATI BIJOYA CHAKRAVARTY: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Oilfields (Regulation and Development) Act, 1948 stipulates revision of oil royalty every three years;

(b) whether it is also a fact that the Sarkaria Commission on Centre-State relations has also recommended revision of oil royalty after every two years;

(c) if so, whether there has been any revision of oil royalty after the Ist April, 1984 in respect of various States; and

(d) if not, what are the reasons therefor and by when such revisions are expected to take place?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF PETROLEUM AND NATURAL GAS (SHRI BRAHM DUTT): (a) According to the provisions of the Oil Fields (Regulation and Development) Act, 1948, the rate of royalty cannot be enhanced in less than three years.

(b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d) The last revision of the rate of royalty took effect from the Ist April, 1984. No decision has been taken on the next revision of the rate of royalty.

Recovery of overcharged amounts from M/s. Pfizer.

2446. SHRI B. SATYANARAYAN REDDY: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what was the price fixed under DPCO, 1979 of each strength and each pack of Oxytetracycline formulations marketed by M/s. Pfizer;

(b) what was the price of Oxytetracycline allowed in the formulations;

(c) what was the quantity of Oxytetracycline produced by M/s. Pfizer from IDPL and other sources yearwise from 1980 onwards and at what price;

(d) whether his Ministry has assessed the amount due from M/s. Pfizer under Drug Prices Equialisation Account;

(e) if so, what is the amount and the period upto which assessed; and

(f) how much amount has been recovered from the company upto 31st July, 1989?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTRY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY. (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) to (f) Detailed information shall be laid on the Table of the Rajya Sabha after the Price Review Committee and the Special Committee complete is their work.

Production of Doxycycline and Erythromycin by IDPL

2447. SHRI MOHD. KHALEELUR RAHMAN: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that IDPL has made investment for the production of Doxycycline and Erythromycin which are life saving drugs;

(b) if so, what was the value of investment involved for both these projects and what are the main assets;

(c) what was the capacity created for each drug;

(d) whether it is also a fact that as per the comprehensive project reports the production was found to be uneconomical;

(c) if so, when was the plant installed and project completed and when was it found to be uneconomical;

(f) what are the purposes for which assets set up for these drugs are being used; and

(g) what steps are being taken in this direction?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS AND THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF CHEMICALS AND PETROCHEMICALS IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI P. NAMGYAL): (a) to (c) The investment made by IDPL on the plant & machinery (gross block) as on 31-3-1985 on Doxycycline and Erythromycin and the capacity created for them are as under:—

Name of the Drug	Investment made (Rs./Lacs)	Capacity created (MT per annum)
Doxycycline	15.02	5
Erythromycin	205.48	36